

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/260,802	HOFFBERG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ronald D. Hartman Jr.	2121	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ronald D. Hartman Jr. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Stephen Hoffberg. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 April 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 118-119.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On Tuesday, April 18, 2006, the attorney of record contacted the examiner in order to amend the claims to overcome the outstanding Restriction Requirement and to place the claims in proper condition for allowance. Mr Hoffberg agreed to change claim 118 from an independent claim to a dependent claim which now depends from claim 58. This change meant the dependency of claim 170 needed to be changed as well. Further, Mr Hoffberg agreed to amend claims 118-119 so as to conform with the amendments of all the other independent claims, these amendments appearing in the amendment filed on 2/8/2006, thereby incorporating features wherein all the independent claims include an automatically feature, with regards to the updating of a user profile, in combination with the content being image or video content, wherein these amendments, in combination with the applicants remarks in the amendment filed on 2/8/2006, form the basis for indicating allowable subject matter. It is also noted that claims 120-121 were canceled as they were previously withdrawn. .